arXiv:1611.05969v1 [math-ph] 18 Nov 2016

QUIVER MUTATION SEQUENCES AND q-BINOMIAL IDENTITIES

AKISHI KATO, YUMA MIZUNO, AND YUJI TERASHIMA

Abstract. In this paper, first we introduce a quantity called a partition function for a quiver mutation sequence. The partition function is a generating function whose weight is a q-binomial associated with each mutation. Then, we show that the partition function can be expressed as a ratio of products of quantum dilogarithms. This provides a systematic way of constructing various q-binomial multisum identities.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Quiver mutation sequences 2
2.1. Quivers and mutation sequences 2
2.2. Ice quivers and c-vectors 3
2.3. Green and red mutations 4
2.4. Noncommutative algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$ 5
3. Partition functions 5
4. Main Results 8
4.1. Statement of the main results 8
4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1 8
4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.2 11
5. Examples 12
References 18

1. Introduction

Quiver mutations appear in various areas of mathematics and physics such as Donaldson-Thomas theory, low-dimensional topology, representation theory, and gauge theory. It is very important to capture quantitatively a common structure hidden in various guises of quiver mutations.

In our previous works [9, 10], we introduced the *partition q-series* for a quiver mutation loop — a mutation sequence whose final quiver is isomorphic to the initial one. The partition q-series is defined as a sum over state variables defined on a graph which describes the sequential evolution of the quiver, and depend only on the combinatorial structures of quiver mutation sequences. For certain class of quiver mutation sequences called reddening sequences, we proved that the graded version of partition q-series essentially coincides with the combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas invariants introduced by Keller [11, 12, 13] motivated by Nagao [17, 18] and Reineke [22, 23]. This is a combinatorial version of more general invariants

studied in Kontsevich and Soibelman's groundbreaking work [15, 16] on the BPS state counting problem. It goes without saying that cluster algebras play crucial role in the development such as the periodicity of T- and Y-systems and the associated dilogarithm identities [4, 8, 14, 19, 20].

In this paper, we first introduce a quantity called a *partition function* for a quiver mutation sequence. Just like the partition q-series, the partition functions are defined as a sum over states on a graph generated by the sequential evolution of the quiver. It has a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading which comes from c-vectors, as is the case with the partition q-series. The partition function is a generating function whose coefficients are a product of local weights associated with mutations. As compared with the partition q-series, however, there are some important differences. (1) The partition functions are defined for *mutation sequences* rather than mutation loops. (2) The partition functions depend explicitly on *initial conditions on state variables*. (3) The partition functions uses *q-binomial coefficients* as the local weights.

We then show that the partition function is an "invariant of quiver mutation sequences" in the following sense: if two mutation sequences $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}'$ on a quiver Q result in same quivers and c-vectors, then the two partition functions associated to them coincide, that is, $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}} = Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}$. This is considered to be a q-binomial version of so-called quantum dilogarithm identities. In fact, we show that the partition function can be expressed as a ratio of products of quantum dilogarithms. Then, we can use the usual quantum dilogarithm identities to show the invariance of the partition function. These results provide a systematic way of constructing various q-binomial multisum identities.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review some definitions and terminologies about quiver mutations. In Section 3 we define the partition functions of mutation sequences. We then give our main results in Section 4. We give a formula that express the partition function as a ratio of products of quantum dilogarithms, then we prove the identities of the partition functions. In Section 5, we give some examples of the partition functions of mutation sequences, which describe our main results in concrete situations.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank R. Inoue, R. Kobayashi, A. Kuniba, and M. Yamazaki for valuable discussions. This work is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16K13752, JP16H03931 and 25400083, and by CREST, JST.

2. Quiver mutation sequences

2.1. **Quivers and mutation sequences.** We briefly review the notion of quiver mutations introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky [3].

A *quiver* Q is an oriented graph. In this paper we assume that all quivers are finite oriented graphs without loops or 2-cycles:

loop 2-cycle .

Throughout the paper, we identify the set of vertices of Q with $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $Q_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ be a multiplicity of the arrows, and consider it as (i, j) entry of an $n \times n$ matrix. Then the quiver can be identified with a skew-symmetric integer $n \times n$ matrix B given by

$$B_{ij} = Q_{ij} - Q_{ji}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let k be a vertex in a quiver Q. The *mutation* μ_k is a transformation of the quiver Q to another quiver $\mu_k(Q)$ as defined below. The mutated quiver $\mu_k(Q)$ has the same vertices as Q. Its arrows are obtained from those of Q via three steps:

1) for each length two path $i \to k \to j$, add a new arrow $i \to j$;
2) reverse the direction of all arrows with source or target k;
3) remove all 2-cycles which arose in step 1.

Mutations are involutive, i.e. $\mu_k(\mu_k(Q)) = Q$ for any $1 \le k \le n$. The mutations can be seen as a transformation of skew-symmetric matrices. Let B be the skew-symmetric corresponding to the quiver Q. Then the skew-symmetric matrix $\mu_k(B)$ corresponding to $\mu_k(Q)$ is given by

$$\mu_k(B)_{ij} = \begin{cases} -B_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k \\ B_{ij} + \operatorname{sgn}(B_{ik}) \max(B_{ik}B_{kj}, 0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

A *mutation sequence* of a quiver Q is a finite sequence of mutations starting from Q. We denote it by $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_T)$, a finite sequence of vertices of Q. The mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ induces a (discrete) time evolution of the quivers:

$$Q(0) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_1}} Q(1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_2}} \cdots \longrightarrow Q(t-1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_t}} Q(t) \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_T}} Q(T)\ , \tag{2.3}$$

where $Q(t) := \mu_{m_t}(Q(t-1))$. The quiver $Q(0)$ is called the *initial* quiver and $Q(T)$ the *final* quiver. We will use the notation $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q) = \mu_{m_T}(\cdots \mu_{m_2}(\mu_{m_1}(Q)) \cdots)$.

2.2. **Ice quivers and c-vectors.** We will follow the terminology in [1]. An *ice quiver* is a pair $(\widetilde{Q}, F)$ where $\widetilde{Q}$ is a quiver and F is a (possibly empty) subset of vertices of $\widetilde{Q}$ such that there are no arrows between them. Vertices in F are called *frozen vertices*. Two ice quivers $(\widetilde{Q}, F)$ and $(\widetilde{Q}', F')$ are *frozen isomorphic* if $F = F'$ and there is an isomorphism of quivers $\phi : \widetilde{Q} \to \widetilde{Q}'$ such that $\phi|F$ is an identity map.

For any quiver Q, we can canonically construct an ice quiver $Q^\wedge$ called a *framed quiver*. This is obtained from Q by adding, for each vertex i, a new frozen vertex i' and a new arrow $i \to i'$.

Let $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_T)$ be a mutation sequence of Q. By putting

$$\widetilde{Q}(0) = Q^\wedge, \qquad \widetilde{Q}(t) = \mu_{m_t}(\widetilde{Q}(t-1)) \qquad (t = 1, 2, \dots, T) \tag{2.4}$$

we can construct a sequence of ice quivers

$$\widetilde{Q}(0) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_1}} \widetilde{Q}(1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_2}} \cdots \longrightarrow \widetilde{Q}(t-1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_t}} \widetilde{Q}(t) \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_T}} \widetilde{Q}(T)\ . \tag{2.5}$$

Note that we never mutate at frozen vertices $F = \{1', \dots, n'\}$. Let $\widetilde{B}(t)$ denote the skew-symmetric matrix corresponding to $\widetilde{Q}(t)$.

The c-vectors, which were introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky [5], are defined by counting arrows to/from frozen vertices.

Definition 2.1. A *c-vector* of a vertex v in $Q(t)$ is a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ given by

$$c_v(t) := \left(\widetilde{B}(t)_{vi'}\right)_{i=1}^{n}. \tag{2.6}$$



FIGURE 1. Pentagon and the A_2 quiver. The green and red vertices are marked with circles and boxes, respectively. Both $\boldsymbol{m} = (1, 2)$ and $\boldsymbol{m}' = (2, 1, 2)$ are maximal green sequences.

If the vertices of $\widetilde{Q}(t)$ are ordered as $(1, \dots, n, 1', \dots, n')$, the skew-symmetric matrix $\widetilde{B}(t)$ has the block form

$$\widetilde{B}(t) = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline B(t) & C(t) \\ \hline -C(t)^\top & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}, \qquad C(t) = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline c_1(t) \\ \hline c_2(t) \\ \hline \cdots \\ \hline c_n(t) \\ \hline \end{array}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $X^\top$ denotes the transpose of X. The $n \times n$ block $C(t)$ is called *c-matrix*, which consists of row of c-vectors. By construction, $c_i(0) = e_i$, where e_i is the i-th standard unit vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

2.3. **Green and red mutations.** The vertex v of $Q(t)$ is called *green* (resp. *red*) if $c_v(t) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ (resp. $-c_v(t) \in \mathbb{N}^n$). Note that every vertex of the initial quiver $Q(0)$ is green by construction. The following crucial property is satisfied by c-vectors:

Theorem 2.2 (Sign coherence [2, 21, 18])**.** Any vertex v in $Q(t)$ is either green or red.

The mutation $\mu_{m_t} : Q(t-1) \to Q(t)$ is *green* (resp. *red*) if the mutating vertex m_t is green (resp. red) on $Q(t-1)$, i.e. on the quiver before mutation. The *sign* ε_t of the mutation μ_{m_t} is defined by

$$\varepsilon_t = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \mu_{m_t} \text{ is green,} \\ -1 & \text{if } \mu_{m_t} \text{ is red.} \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

A mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_T)$ is called a *green sequence* if m_t is green for all t. A mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ is called a *reddening sequence* if all vertices of the final quiver $Q(T)$ are red. A mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ is called a *maximal green sequence* if it is green and reddening. All maximal green sequences are reddening by definition, but there is a reddening sequence that is not maximal green (see

Example 5.5). In Figure 1, the two maximal green sequences $(1,2)$ and $(2,1,2)$ are shown for the A_2 quiver.

2.4. **Noncommutative algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$.** We introduce a noncommutative associative algebra which we use in the definition of the partition function.

Let Q be a quiver with vertices $\{1,2,\dots,n\}$. We define a skew symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\langle e_i, e_j\rangle = B_{ij} = -B_{ji} = Q_{ij} - Q_{ji}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $e_1,\dots,e_n$ are the standard basis vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let $\mathbb{A}_Q$ be a noncommutative associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})$ presented as

$$\mathbb{A}_Q = \mathbb{Q}(q^{\frac{1}{2}})\langle\, y^\alpha,\ \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n \ \mid y^\alpha y^\beta = q^{\frac{1}{2}\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle} y^{\alpha+\beta}\,\rangle. \tag{2.10}$$

Its completion with respect to the $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading is denoted by $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$, which can be regarded as the ring of noncommutative power series in $y_i := y^{e_i}$ $(i=1,\dots,n)$.

We will often use the following relations ($\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$):

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots y_n^{\alpha_n} &= q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} B_{ij}\alpha_i\alpha_j} y^\alpha,\\ y^\alpha &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} B_{ij}\alpha_i\alpha_j} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots y_n^{\alpha_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The q-factors in the definition of y^α are chosen to guarantee invariance under the reindexing of the y_i's.

3. Partition functions

In this section, we introduce partition functions for mutation sequences.

Let Q be a quiver with vertices $\{1,2,\dots,n\}$. We consider a mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}=(m_1,m_2,\dots,m_T)$ of Q:

$$Q(0)\xrightarrow{\mu_{m_1}} Q(1)\xrightarrow{\mu_{m_2}}\cdots\longrightarrow Q(t-1)\xrightarrow{\mu_{m_t}} Q(t)\longrightarrow\cdots\xrightarrow{\mu_{m_T}} Q(T)\ . \tag{3.1}$$

We first give a family of *s-variables* $\{s_i\}$, *k-variables* $\{k_t\}$, and *$k^\vee$-variables* $\{k_t^\vee\}$ by the following rule:

(i) An "initial" s-variable s_v is attached to each vertex v of the initial quiver $Q=Q(0)$.
(ii) When we mutate a quiver at vertex v, we add a "new" s-variable associated with v.
(iii) We associate k_t and $k_t^\vee$ with each mutation at m_t.

The s-, k-, and $k^\vee$-variables are not regarded as independent. We require a linear relation for each mutation. If the quiver $Q(t-1)$ equipped with s-variables $\{s_i\}$ is mutated at vertex $v=m_t$ to give $Q(t)$, then k- and s-variables are required to satisfy

$$k_t = \begin{cases} s_v + s_v' - \displaystyle\sum_{a\to v} s_a & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is green } (\varepsilon_t = 1)\\[2ex] \displaystyle\sum_{v\to b} s_b - (s_v + s_v') & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is red } (\varepsilon_t = -1) \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Here, s'_v is the "new" s-variable attached to mutated vertex v, and the sum is over all the arrows of $Q(t-1)$. Similarly, $k^\vee$- and s-variables satisfy

$$k_t^\vee = \begin{cases} \sum_{v\to b} s_b - (s_v + s'_v) & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is green } (\varepsilon_t = 1) \\ s_v + s'_v - \sum_{a\to v} s_a & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is red } (\varepsilon_t = -1) \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore,

$$k_t + k_t^\vee = \sum_{v\to b} s_b - \sum_{a\to v} s_a \tag{3.4}$$

holds at each mutation.

It is sometimes useful to view the relation (3.2) as a discrete time evolution of s-variables with the control parameters $\{k_t\}$. Let $s_i(t)$ be the s-variable associated with the vertex i in $Q(t)$. Then (3.2) can be written as

$$s_i(t) = \begin{cases} s_i(t-1) & \text{if } i \neq v, \\ k_t - s_v(t-1) + \sum_a Q(t)_{a,v} s_a(t-1) & \text{if } i = v \text{ and } \mu_v \text{ is green}, \\ -k_t - s_v(t-1) + \sum_b Q(t)_{v,b} s_b(t-1) & \text{if } i = v \text{ and } \mu_v \text{ is red}. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

With this notation, (3.4) reads as

$$k_t + k_t^\vee = \sum_i B(t-1)_{v,i}\, s_i(t-1) = -\sum_i B(t-1)_{i,v}\, s_i(t-1). \tag{3.6}$$

Consequently, we have

Proposition 3.1. Each $k^\vee$-variable is written as a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of k-variables and initial s-variables.

Hereafter, we write r_i for the initial s-variables $s_i(0)$ at the vertex i of Q for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

We now introduce a mutation weight.

Definition 3.2. Consider a mutation μ_v at the vertex v. Then the *mutation weight* of μ_k is given by

$$W^\varepsilon(k, k^\vee) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon k k^\vee} \begin{bmatrix} k + k^\vee \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^\varepsilon}. \tag{3.7}$$

Here, $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ is the sign of μ_v and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k^\vee \in \mathbb{Z}$ are k-, $k^\vee$-variables associated with the mutation μ_v, respectively. The q-binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^{m-k+1}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \tag{3.8}$$

with $(x; q)_k := \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(1 - xq^i)$.

Remark 3.3. $W^\varepsilon(k, k^\vee)$ is a Laurent polynomial of $q^{1/2}$. Note that we allow $k^\vee$ to be negative integer since our definition of q-binomial coefficient (3.8) is valid even if $m < k$.

Let $\alpha_t \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$ denote the *sign-corrected c-vector*

$$\alpha_t = \varepsilon_t c_{m_t}(t-1), \tag{3.9}$$

namely, the product of the sign and the c-vector of the vertex on which the t-th mutation takes place.

Definition 3.4. Consider a quiver mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_T)$ with an initial quiver Q. The *partition function* of $\boldsymbol{m}$ with initial s-variables $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is given by

$$Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} W^{\varepsilon_t}(k_t, k_t^\vee) \right) y^{\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \alpha_t} \quad \in \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q \tag{3.10}$$

Here the sum is taken over $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_T) \in \mathbb{N}^T$, and all the mutation weights $\{W^{\varepsilon_t}(k_t, k_t^\vee)\}_{1 \leq t \leq T}$ are considered as functions of $k \in \mathbb{N}^T$ and initial s-variables $r \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ via Proposition 3.1. Consequently, $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ defines a map $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$, $r \mapsto Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r)$.

Example 3.5. Let us consider the A_2 quiver

$$Q = \ 1 \longrightarrow 2 \tag{3.11}$$

and a mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (1, 2)$. See the left half of Figure 1. We with to compute the partition function $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r_1, r_2)$. The sign-corrected c-vector α_t and the sign ε_t are computed to be

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 = (1, 0), \quad \varepsilon_1 = +, \\ &\alpha_2 = (0, 1), \quad \varepsilon_2 = +. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

The s-variables change as follows (cf. (3.5)):

	$s_1(t)$	$s_2(t)$
$t = 0$	r_1	r_2
$t = 1$	$k_1 - r_1$	r_2
$t = 2$	$k_1 - r_1$	$k_2 - r_2$

The $k^\vee$-variables are given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_1^\vee &= -s_1(0) - s_1(1) + s_2(0) = -k_1 + r_2 \\ k_2^\vee &= -s_2(1) - s_2(2) + s_1(1) = k_1 - k_2 - r_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Putting these into the definition of mutation weight (3.7) and summing over k-variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r_1, r_2) &= \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} W(k_1, -k_1 + r_2) W(k_2, k_1 - k_2 - r_1) y^{(k_1, k_2)} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} q^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1^2 + k_2^2 - k_1 k_2 - k_1 r_2 + k_2 r_1)} \begin{bmatrix} r_2 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_1 - r_1 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q y^{(k_1, k_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(-2,1) =& 1 + y^{(1,0)} + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{\frac{1}{2}})y^{(0,1)} \\ &+ (q^{-1} + 1 + q)y^{(1,1)} + y^{(0,2)} + (q^{-1} + 1 + q)y^{(1,2)} + y^{(1,3)}, \\ Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(2,2) =& 1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{\frac{1}{2}})y^{(1,0)} + (-q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})y^{(0,1)} \\ &+ y^{(2,0)} + (-q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})y^{(1,1)} + (q^{-1} + 1 + q)y^{(0,2)} + \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sum in $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(-2,1)$ is actually finite, whereas the sum in $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(2,2)$ is infinite.

4. Main Results

4.1. **Statement of the main results.** Our first main result relates the partition functions to the products of quantum dilogarithms

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = \mathbb{E}(x;q) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^{n+\frac{1}{2}}x}. \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $[x^n]F(x)$ denote the coefficient of x^n in the series $F(x) = \sum a_n x^n$. Then, for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &q^{\frac{1}{2}\langle \beta, r\rangle}([y^{\beta}]Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r)) \\ &= [y^{\beta}]\left((\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_1};q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T}))^{-1}(\mathbb{E}(q^{\langle\alpha_1,r\rangle}y^{\alpha_1};q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(q^{\langle\alpha_T,r\rangle}y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T}))\right) \end{aligned}}$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is a skew symmetric bilinear form defined by (2.9).

Thanks to Theorem 4.1, any identity between products of quantum dilogarithm series leads to that for the partition functions. In particular, applying this result to the mutation sequences defining combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas invariants, we obtain our second main result:

Theorem 4.2. If $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}'$ are two mutation sequences such that there is a frozen isomorphism between $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^{\wedge})$ and $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q^{\wedge})$, then we have $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}} = Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}$.

First we will prove Theorem 4.1 in Section 4.2. Then the proof of Theorem 4.2 will be given in Section 4.3.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** We first rewrite the product of quantum dilogarithms in terms of q-binomials as follows:

Proposition 4.3. For any mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_T)$ and for any $n_1, \ldots, n_T \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_1};q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T}))^{-1}\,(\mathbb{E}(q^{n_1}y^{\alpha_1};q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(q^{n_T}y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T})) \\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_t k_t^2}\begin{bmatrix}\varepsilon_t(n_t + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_t\rangle k_i)\\ k_t\end{bmatrix}_{q^{\varepsilon_t}}\right) y^{k_T\alpha_T}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. A key is to express q-binomial coefficients as the "ratio" of q-dilogarithms:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(x;q)^{-1}\,\mathbb{E}(q^m x;q) &= \begin{cases}\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(1+q^{i+\frac{1}{2}}x\right) & (m\geq 0)\\ \prod_{i=0}^{-m-1}\left(1+q^{-i-\frac{1}{2}}x\right)^{-1} & (m<0)\end{cases}\\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\frac{1}{2}k^2}\begin{bmatrix}m\\k\end{bmatrix}_q x^k\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

by using the q-binomial theorem. Moreover, by substituting q^{-1} for q and $-m$ for m, we get

$$\mathbb{E}(x;q^\varepsilon)^{-1}\,\mathbb{E}(q^m x;q^\varepsilon) = \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon k^2}\begin{bmatrix}\varepsilon m\\k\end{bmatrix}_{q^\varepsilon} x^k \tag{4.4}$$

for $\varepsilon\in\{1,-1\}$. Then the proof is by induction on the length T of the mutation sequence. For $T=0$, equality is obvious because both sides of (4.2) are equal to 1. For $T>0$, we set

$$A = \prod_{t=1}^{T-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_t k_t^2}\begin{bmatrix}\varepsilon_t(n_t+\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_t\rangle k_i)\\ k_t\end{bmatrix}_{q^{\varepsilon_t}}.$$

By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_1};q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_{T-1}};q^{\varepsilon_{T-1}})\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}(q^{n_1}y^{\alpha_1};q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(q^{n_{T-1}}y^{\alpha_{T-1}};q^{\varepsilon_{T-1}})\right)\\ &= \sum_{k_1,\ldots k_{T-1}\geq 0} A y^{k_{T-1}\alpha_{T-1}}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation relation

$$(y^{k_{T-1}\alpha_{T-1}}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1})y^{\alpha_T} = q^{\sum_{i=1}^{T-1}\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_T\rangle k_i} y^{\alpha_T}(y^{k_{T-1}\alpha_{T-1}}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1})$$

and (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_1};q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T})\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}(q^{n_1}y^{\alpha_1};q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(q^{n_T}y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T})\right)\\ &= \mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T})^{-1}\left(\sum_{k_1,\ldots k_{T-1}\geq 0} A y^{k_{T-1}\alpha_{T-1}}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1}\right)\mathbb{E}(q^{n_T}y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T})\\ &= \sum_{k_1,\ldots k_{T-1}\geq 0}\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T})^{-1}\,\mathbb{E}(q^{n_T+\sum_{i=1}^{T-1}\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_T\rangle k_i}y^{\alpha_T};q^{\varepsilon_T})A y^{k_{T-1}\alpha_{T-1}}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1}\\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_T k_T^2}\begin{bmatrix}\varepsilon_T(n_T+\sum_{i=1}^{T-1}\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_T\rangle k_i)\\ k_T\end{bmatrix}_{q^{\varepsilon_T}} y^{k_T\alpha_T} A y^{k_T\alpha_{T-1}}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1}\\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_t k_t^2}\begin{bmatrix}\varepsilon_t(n_t+\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_t\rangle k_i)\\ k_t\end{bmatrix}_{q^{\varepsilon_t}}\right) y^{k_T\alpha_T}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3. □

We introduce the notion of a state vector considered in [10]. The *state vector* of $Q(t)$ is defined by

$$\psi(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i(t)c_i(t) \ \in\mathbb{Z}^n \qquad (0\leq t\leq T). \tag{4.5}$$

By the definition of c-vectors, we have $\psi(0) = (s_1(0), \ldots, s_n(0)) = r$.

We use the following properties shown in [10] [1]:

- For the mutation sequence (3.1), we have

$$B(t)_{ij} = \langle c_i(t), c_j(t) \rangle, \qquad (0 \leq t \leq T) \tag{4.6}$$

or equivalently,

$$C(t)B(0)C(t)^\top = B(t). \qquad (0 \leq t \leq T) \tag{4.7}$$

- Along the mutation sequence (3.1), the state vector changes as

$$\psi(t) = \psi(t-1) - k_t \alpha_t, \qquad (t = 1, \ldots, T). \tag{4.8}$$

- The state vectors of the initial and the final quivers are related as

$$\psi(0) - \psi(T) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \alpha_t. \tag{4.9}$$

- For any mutation sequence, we have

$$-\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t k_t^\vee + \langle \psi(0), \psi(T) \rangle = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq T} k_i k_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle. \tag{4.10}$$

We also need the following:

Proposition 4.4. For any mutation sequences, we have

$$k_t + k_t^\vee = \varepsilon_t (\langle \alpha_t, \psi(0) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_t \rangle k_i). \tag{4.11}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
k_t + k_t^\vee &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} B(t-1)_{m_t, i} s_i(t-1) && \text{(by (3.6))} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle c_{m_t}(t-1), c_i(t-1) \rangle s_i(t-1) && \text{(by (4.6))} \\
&= \langle c_{m_t}(t-1), \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(t-1) s_i(t-1) \rangle \\
&= \langle c_{m_t}(t-1), \psi(t-1) \rangle && \text{(by (4.5))} \\
&= \langle \varepsilon_t \alpha_t, \psi(t-1) \rangle && \text{(by (3.9))} \\
&= \langle \varepsilon_t \alpha_t, \psi(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_i k_i \rangle && \text{(by (4.8))} \\
&= \varepsilon_t (\langle \alpha_t, \psi(0) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_t \rangle k_i). && \text{(by skewness of } \langle\ ,\ \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

□

[1] Note that $k_t^\vee$ in this paper is $-k_t^\vee$ in [10].

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.1. The partition function associated with the mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ and initial s-variables $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r) &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} W^{\varepsilon_t}(k_t, k_t^\vee)\right) y^{\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t\alpha_t} \\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_t k_t k_t^\vee}\begin{bmatrix} k_t + k_t^\vee \\ k_t \end{bmatrix}_{q^{\varepsilon_t}}\right) y^{\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t\alpha_t}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the ratio of the quantum dilogarithms products along $\boldsymbol{m}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_1}; q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_T}; q^{\varepsilon_T}))^{-1}\,\mathbb{E}(q^{\langle\alpha_1, r\rangle}y^{\alpha_1}; q^{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\mathbb{E}(q^{\langle\alpha_T, r\rangle}y^{\alpha_T}; q^{\varepsilon_T}) \\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_t k_t^2}\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_t(\langle\alpha_t, r\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_t\rangle k_i) \\ k_t \end{bmatrix}_{q^{\varepsilon_t}}\right) y^{k_T\alpha_T}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1} \\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_t k_t^2}\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_t(\langle\alpha_t, \psi(0)\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_t\rangle k_i) \\ k_t \end{bmatrix}_{q^{\varepsilon_t}}\right) y^{k_T\alpha_T}\cdots y^{k_1\alpha_1} \\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{T} q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_t k_t^2}\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_t(\langle\alpha_t, \psi(0)\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_t\rangle k_i) \\ k_t \end{bmatrix}_{q^{\varepsilon_t}}\right) q^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq T} k_i k_j\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_j\rangle} y^{\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t\alpha_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to prove Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show that the following equalities:

$$k_t + k_t^\vee = \varepsilon_t(\langle\alpha_t, \psi(0)\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_t\rangle k_i), \tag{4.12}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\varepsilon_t k_t k_t^\vee + \frac{1}{2}\langle\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t\alpha_t, r\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\varepsilon_t k_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq T} k_i k_j\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_j\rangle. \tag{4.13}$$

The equality (4.12) follows immediately from Proposition 4.4. (4.13) follows from (4.10) because

$$\Big\langle\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t\alpha_t, r\Big\rangle = \langle\psi(0) - \psi(T), \psi(0)\rangle = \langle\psi(0), \psi(T)\rangle$$

by (4.9) and the skewness of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.2.** We first review some known results about quantum dilogarithms. For a mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_T)$ of Q we consider the following ordered product of quantum dilogarithms:

$$\mathbb{E}(Q; \boldsymbol{m}) := \mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_1}; q^{\varepsilon_1})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_2}; q^{\varepsilon_2})\cdots\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_T}; q^{\varepsilon_T}) \quad \in \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q\,. \tag{4.14}$$

Theorem 4.5 (Keller[12], Nagao[17])**.** If $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}'$ are two mutation sequences such that there is a frozen isomorphism between $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^\wedge)$ and $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q^\wedge)$, then we have $\mathbb{E}(Q; \boldsymbol{m}) = \mathbb{E}(Q; \boldsymbol{m}')$.

Theorem 4.6 (Keller[12])**.** If $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}'$ are reddening sequences on the quiver Q, then there is a frozen isomorphism between the final ice quivers $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^\wedge) \simeq \mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q^\wedge)$.

Theorems 4.5 and 4.6 imply that if Q admits a reddening sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$, then the power series

$$\mathbb{E}_Q := \mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q\,. \tag{4.15}$$

is independent of the choice of the reddening sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$. Thus it is intrinsically associated with the quiver Q. Keller [13] named this invariant as *combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas (DT) invariant*. Note that the statements of Theorems 4.6 is combinatorial, but the known proofs are based on categorification in terms of Ginzburg dg-algebra [6]. The well-known pentagon identity

$$\mathbb{E}(x)\,\mathbb{E}(y) = \mathbb{E}(y)\,\mathbb{E}(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}xy)\,\mathbb{E}(x)$$

for $xy = qyx$ is nothing but the combinatorial DT invariant of A_2 quiver $Q = (1{\to}2)$ corresponding to the two reddening sequences $\boldsymbol{m} = (1,2)$ and $\boldsymbol{m}' = (2,1,2)$ depicted in Figure 1.

Thanks to the identities of quantum dilogarithms, Theorem 4.2 follows immediately from our first main result Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. From Theorem 4.5, we have $\mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m}) = \mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m}')$. This equality also holds if we replace $(y^{\alpha_1},\dots,y^{\alpha_T})$ by $(q^{n_1}y^{\alpha_1},\dots,q^{n_T}y^{\alpha_T})$ for $(n_1,\dots,n_T) \in \mathbb{Z}^T$ because they have the same commutation relations. Therefore, Theorem 4.1 implies that $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}} = Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}$. □

One of the consequences is that, from a viewpoint of Theorem 4.6, if a quiver Q admit a reddening sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$, our partition function $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ is independent of the choice of the reddening sequence.

5. Examples

In this section, we show some sample computation of partition functions for some mutation sequences. We hope that these examples illustrate how our results provide a systematic way of constructing various q-binomial multisum identities.

Throughout this section, we simply write $W(k_t,k_t^\vee)$ for $W^+(k_t,k_t^\vee)$ when we consider green sequences.

Example 5.1. Consider the A_2 quiver

$$Q = \quad 1 \longrightarrow 2. \tag{5.1}$$

and a mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (1,2)$. The partition function of $\boldsymbol{m}$ is computed in Example 3.5:

$$Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r_1,r_2) = \sum_{k_1,k_2\geq 0} W(k_1,-k_1+r_2)W(k_2,k_1-k_2-r_1)y^{(k_1,k_2)}. \tag{5.2}$$

The coefficient of $y^{(\beta_1,\beta_2)}$ in the partition function (5.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}[y^{(\beta_1,\beta_2)}]Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r_1,r_2) &= W(\beta_1,-\beta_1+r_2)W(\beta_2,\beta_1-\beta_2-r_1)\\ &= q^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2-\beta_1\beta_2-\beta_1r_2+\beta_2r_1)}\begin{bmatrix} r_2\\ \beta_1\end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix}\beta_1-r_1\\ \beta_2\end{bmatrix}_q.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

By Theorem 4.1, this is equal to

$$q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1r_2-\beta_2r_1)}[y^{(\beta_1,\beta_2)}]\left((\mathbb{E}(y_1;q)\,\mathbb{E}(y_2;q))^{-1}\,\mathbb{E}(q^{r_2}y_1;q)\,\mathbb{E}(q^{-r_1}y_2;q)\right).$$

Example 5.2. We again consider the A_2 quiver (5.1). The mutation sequences $\boldsymbol{m} = (1,2)$ and $\boldsymbol{m}' = (2,1,2)$ of Q are maximal green sequences. In addition, the permutation of vertices $(1,2)$ is a frozen isomorphism between $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^\vee)$ and $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q^\vee)$(see Figure 1). It follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r_1, r_2) = Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(r_1, r_2) \tag{5.4}$$

for all $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us express (5.4) in terms of mutation weight.

In the mutation sequences $\boldsymbol{m}'$, the s-variables change as follows:

	$s_1(t)$	$s_2(t)$
$t=0$	r_1	r_2
$t=1$	r_1	$k_1 - r_2 + r_1$
$t=2$	$k_2 - r_1 + s_2(1)$	$k_1 - r_2 + r_1$
$t=3$	$k_2 - r_1 + s_2(1)$	$k_3 - s_2(2) + s_1(2)$

The $k^\vee$-variables are computed to be

$$\begin{aligned} k_1^\vee &= -s_2(0) - s_2(1) = -k_1 - r_1, \\ k_2^\vee &= -s_1(1) - s_1(2) = -k_1 - k_2 - r_1 + r_2, \\ k_3^\vee &= -s_2(2) - s_2(3) = -k_1 - k_2 - k_3 + r_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

The partition function of $\boldsymbol{m}'$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(r_1, r_2) = \sum_{k_1,k_2,k_3 \geq 0} & W(k_1, -k_1 - r_1) W(k_2, -k_1 - k_2 - r_1 + r_2) \\ & \cdot W(k_3, -k_1 - k_2 - k_3 + r_2) y^{(k_2+k_3, k_1+k_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $y^{(\beta_1,\beta_2)}$ in (5.2) and (5.6) gives a five-term identity of mutation weights:

$$\begin{aligned} & W(\beta_1, -\beta_1 + r_2) W(\beta_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2 + r_1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,k_3 \geq 0 \\ k_2+k_3=\beta_1 \\ k_1+k_2=\beta_2}} W(k_1, -k_1 - r_1) W(k_2, -\beta_2 - r_1 + r_2) W(k_3, -\beta_2 - k_3 + r_2). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Multiplying both sides by $q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2-\beta_1\beta_2-\beta_1 r_2+\beta_2 r_1)}$, we get a five-term q-binomial identity:

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_2 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 - r_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,k_3 \geq 0 \\ k_2+k_3=\beta_1 \\ k_1+k_2=\beta_2}} q^{k_1 k_3} \begin{bmatrix} -r_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} -r_1 + r_2 - k_1 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} -\beta_2 + r_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix}_q. \tag{5.8}$$

Remark 5.3. This identity is equivalent to the Stanley's identity (see [7])

$$\begin{bmatrix} c+a \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d+b \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(a,b)} q^{(a-k)(b-k)} \begin{bmatrix} c+d+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} c+a-b \\ a-k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d+b-a \\ b-k \end{bmatrix}_q. \tag{5.9}$$

Example 5.4. Consider an alternating quiver of type A_3

$$Q = \quad 1 \longrightarrow 2 \longleftarrow 3 \ . \tag{5.10}$$



FIGURE 2. A mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}' = (2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3)$ for an A_3 quiver.

The mutation sequences $\boldsymbol{m} = (1, 3, 2)$ and $\boldsymbol{m}' = (2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3)$ of Q are maximal green sequences. In addition, the permutation of vertices $(1, 3)$ is a frozen isomorphism between $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^\vee)$ and $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q^\vee)$ (see Figure 5.4). It follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r_1, r_2, r_3) = Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(r_1, r_2, r_3). \tag{5.11}$$

for all $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us write (5.11) in terms of mutation weights.

In the mutation sequences $\boldsymbol{m}$, the s-variables change as follows:

	$s_1(t)$	$s_2(t)$	$s_3(t)$
$t = 0$	r_1	r_2	r_3
$t = 1$	$k_1 - r_1$	r_2	r_3
$t = 2$	$k_1 - r_1$	r_2	$k_2 - r_3$
$t = 3$	$k_1 - r_1$	$k_3 - r_2$	$k_2 - r_3$

The $k^\vee$-variables are computed to be

$$\begin{aligned} k_1^\vee &= -s_1(0) - s_1(1) + s_2(0) = -k_1 + r_2, \\ k_2^\vee &= -s_3(1) - s_3(2) + s_2(1) = -k_2 + r_2, \\ k_3^\vee &= -s_2(2) - s_2(3) + s_1(2) + s_3(2) = k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - r_1 - r_3. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

The partition function of $\boldsymbol{m}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r_1, r_2, r_3) = &\sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3 \geq 0} W(k_1, -k_1 + r_2) \\ &\cdot W(k_2, -k_2 + r_2) W(k_3, -k_3 + k_1 + k_2 - r_1 - r_3) y^{(k_1, k_3, k_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

On the other hand, in the mutation sequences $\boldsymbol{m}'$, the s-variables change as follows:

	$s_1(t)$	$s_2(t)$	$s_3(t)$
$t=0$	r_1	r_2	r_3
$t=1$	r_1	$k_1-r_2+r_1+r_3$	r_3
$t=2$	$k_2-r_1+s_2(1)$	$k_1-r_2+r_1+r_3$	r_3
$t=3$	$k_2-r_1+s_2(1)$	$k_1-r_2+r_1+r_3$	$k_3-r_3+s_2(2)$
$t=4$	$k_2-r_1+s_2(1)$	$k_4-s_2(3)+s_1(3)+s_3(3)$	$k_3-r_3+s_2(2)$
$t=5$	$k_5-s_1(4)+s_2(4)$	$k_4-s_2(3)+s_1(3)+s_3(3)$	$k_3-r_3+s_2(2)$
$t=6$	$k_5-s_1(4)+s_2(4)$	$k_4-s_2(3)+s_1(3)+s_3(3)$	$k_6-s_3+s_2(5)$

The $k^\vee$-variables are computed to be

$$\begin{aligned}
k_1^\vee &= -s_2(0)-s_2(1) = -k_1-r_1-r_3,\\
k_2^\vee &= -s_1(1)-s_1(2) = -k_1-k_2-r_1+r_2-r_3,\\
k_3^\vee &= -s_3(2)-s_3(3) = -k_1-k_3-r_1+r_2-r_3,\\
k_4^\vee &= -s_2(3)-s_2(4) = -2k_1-k_2-k_3-k_4-r_1+2r_2-r_3,\\
k_5^\vee &= -s_1(4)-s_1(5) = -k_1-k_2-k_3-k_4-k_5+r_2,\\
k_6^\vee &= -s_3(5)-s_3(6) = -k_1-k_2-k_3-k_4-k_6+r_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

The partition function of $\boldsymbol{m}'$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(r_1,r_2,r_3) &= \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_6\geq 0} W(k_1,-k_1-r_1-r_3)W(k_2,-k_1-k_2-r_1+r_2-r_3)\\
&\cdot W(k_3,-k_1-k_3-r_1+r_2-r_3)W(k_4,-2k_1-k_2-k_3-k_4-r_1+2r_2-r_3)\\
&\cdot W(k_5,-k_1-k_2-k_3-k_4-k_5+r_2)W(k_6,-k_1-k_2-k_3-k_4-k_6+r_2)\\
&\cdot y^{(k_2+k_4+k_6,k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4,k_3+k_4+k_5)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $y^{(\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)}$ in $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ and $Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}$ gives a nine-term identity of mutation weights:

$$\begin{aligned}
&W(\beta_1,-\beta_1+r_2)W(\beta_3,-\beta_3+r_2)W(\beta_2,\beta_1-\beta_2+\beta_3-r_1-r_3)\\
&= \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_6\geq 0,(*)} W(k_1,-k_1-r_1-r_3)W(k_2,-k_1-k_2-r_1+r_2-r_3)\\
&\quad\cdot W(k_3,-k_1-k_3-r_1+r_2-r_3)W(k_4,-k_1-\beta_2-r_1+2r_2-r_3)\\
&\quad\cdot W(k_5,-k_5-\beta_2+r_2)W(k_6,-k_6-\beta_2+r_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where $(*)$ is the following conditions:

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} k_2+k_4+k_6=\beta_1\\ k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4=\beta_2\\ k_3+k_4+k_5=\beta_3 \end{cases}. \tag{5.17}$$

This gives the following identity of q-binomial coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{bmatrix} r_2 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} r_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1+\beta_3-r_1-r_3 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_6\geq 0,(*)} q^{k_1k_4+k_1k_5+k_1k_6+k_2k_5+k_3k_6} \begin{bmatrix} -r_1-r_3 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&\quad \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -k_1-r_1+r_2-r_3 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} -k_1-r_1+r_2-r_3 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&\quad \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -k_1+k_4-\beta_2-r_1+2r_2-r_3 \\ k_4 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} -\beta_2+r_2 \\ k_5 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} -\beta_2+r_2 \\ k_6 \end{bmatrix}_q
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

For example, if we set $(\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)=(1,1,2)$ and $(r_1,r_2,r_3)=(0,6,-2)$, (5.18) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q =& \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q + q \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&+ q^2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q + q^3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q .
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, we can check both sides are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&1+3q+7q^2+13q^3+22q^4+32q^5+42q^6+50q^7+55q^8+55q^9 \\
&+50q^{10}+42q^{11}+32q^{12}+22q^{13}+13q^{14}+7q^{15}+3q^{16}+q^{17}.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 5.5. We give a example which has negative sign mutations. Let Q be a quiver given by



We can check directly that both of two mutation sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{m} &= (1,3,4,2,1,3,5,2), \\
\boldsymbol{m}' &= (2,1,3,5,2,1,3,4,2,1,3,5)
\end{aligned}$$

are reddening sequences. The signs $\varepsilon=(\varepsilon_1^\vee,\dots,\varepsilon_8^\vee)$ of $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\varepsilon'=({\varepsilon'}_1^\vee,\dots,{\varepsilon'}_{12}^\vee)$ of $\boldsymbol{m}'$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon &= (+,+,+,+,+,+,+,+), \\
\varepsilon' &= (+,+,+,+,+,-,-,+,+,+,+,+).
\end{aligned}$$

The mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}'$ is a example of a reddening sequence that is not a maximal green sequence.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \in S_5$$

gives a frozen isomorphism between $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^{\wedge})$ and $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q^{\wedge})$. These mutation sequences appear in periodicity of a level 2 restricted T and Y-system of B_2 [8]. We get

$$Z_{\boldsymbol{m}}(r_1,\dots,r_5) = Z_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(r_1,\dots,r_5) \tag{5.19}$$

by Theorem 4.2. Comparing the coefficients of $y^{(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_5)}$ of both sides of (5.19) gives a twenty terms identity of the mutation weights

$$\sum_{k\geq 0,(*)} W^{\varepsilon_1}(k_1,k_1^{\vee})\cdots W^{\varepsilon_8}(k_8,k_8^{\vee}) = \sum_{k'\geq 0,(*)'} W^{\varepsilon'_1}(k'_1,{k'}_1^{\vee})\cdots W^{\varepsilon'_{12}}(k'_{12},{k'}_{12}^{\vee}), \tag{5.20}$$

where the $k^{\vee}$-variables $k_1^{\vee},\dots,k_8^{\vee}$ and ${k'}_1^{\vee},\dots,{k'}_{12}^{\vee}$ are computed to be

$$\begin{aligned}
k_1^{\vee} &= -k_1 - r_2 + r_5,\\
k_2^{\vee} &= -k_2 - r_2 + r_5,\\
k_3^{\vee} &= -k_3 + r_2,\\
k_4^{\vee} &= -k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4 + r_1 - 2r_2 + r_3 - r_4 + r_5,\\
k_5^{\vee} &= -k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4 - k_5 + r_1 - r_2 + r_3 - r_4,\\
k_6^{\vee} &= -k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4 - k_6 + r_1 - r_2 + r_3 - r_4,\\
k_7^{\vee} &= k_1 + k_2 + k_4 - k_7 - r_1 + r_2 - r_3,\\
k_8^{\vee} &= -k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - 2k_4 - k_5 - k_6 + k_7 - k_8 + r_1 + r_3 - r_4 - r_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
{k'}_1^{\vee} &= -k'_1 + r_1 + r_3 - r_4 - r_5,\\
{k'}_2^{\vee} &= k'_1 - k'_2 - r_2 + r_5,\\
{k'}_3^{\vee} &= k'_1 - k'_3 - r_2 + r_5,\\
{k'}_4^{\vee} &= -k'_1 - k'_4 + r_2 - r_4 - r_5,\\
{k'}_5^{\vee} &= -k'_1 + k'_2 + k'_3 - k'_4 - k'_5 - r_1 + r_2 - r_3,\\
{k'}_6^{\vee} &= -k'_1 + k'_5 - k'_6 + r_2 - r_5,\\
{k'}_7^{\vee} &= -k'_1 + k'_5 - k'_7 + r_2 - r_5,\\
{k'}_8^{\vee} &= -k'_4 - k'_5 - k'_8 - r_4 + r_5,\\
{k'}_9^{\vee} &= -k'_1 - k'_2 - k'_3 + k'_5 - k'_6 - k'_7 - k'_8 - k'_9 + r_1 + r_2 + r_3 - r_4 - r_5,\\
{k'}_{10}^{\vee} &= k'_1 - k'_5 + k'_9 - k'_{10} - r_2 + r_5,\\
{k'}_{11}^{\vee} &= k'_1 - k'_5 + k'_9 - k'_{11} - r_2 + r_5,\\
{k'}_{12}^{\vee} &= -k'_1 - k'_4 - k'_8 - k'_9 - k'_{12} + r_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

In addition, $(*)$ and $(*)'$ are the following conditions:

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} k_1 + k_4 + k_6 = \beta_1 \\ k_4 + k_5 + k_6 + k_8 = \beta_2 \\ k_2 + k_4 + k_5 = \beta_3 \\ k_3 = \beta_4 \\ k_7 = \beta_5 \end{cases} \tag{5.23}$$

$$(*)' \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} k'_2 + k'_6 + k'_8 + k'_{10} = \beta_1 \\ k'_1 + k'_4 + k'_8 + k'_9 = \beta_2 \\ k'_3 + k'_7 + k'_8 + k'_{11} = \beta_3 \\ k'_8 + k'_9 + k'_{12} = \beta_4 \\ k'_4 + k'_5 + k'_8 = \beta_5 \end{cases} \tag{5.24}$$

References

[1] Thomas Brüstle, Grégoire Dupont, and Matthieu Pérotin. On maximal green sequences. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2014(16):4547–4586, 2014.

[2] Harm Derksen, Jerzy Weyman, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Quivers with potentials and their representations II: Applications to cluster algebras. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(3):749–790, 2010.

[3] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras I: foundations. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 15(2):497–529, 2002.

[4] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Y-systems and generalized associahedra. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 158 (2003), no. 3, 977–1018.

[5] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras IV: coefficients. *Compositio Mathematica*, 143(01):112–164, 2007.

[6] Victor Ginzburg. Calabi-Yau algebras. *arXiv preprint math/0612139*, 2006.

[7] Henry W. Gould. A new symmetrical combinatorial ldentity. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 13(2):278–286, 1972.

[8] Rei Inoue, Osamu Iyama, Bernhard Keller, Atsuo Kuniba, and Tomoki Nakanishi. Periodicities of T-systems and Y-systems, dilogarithm identities, and cluster algebras I: Type B_r. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 49(1):1–42, 2013.

[9] Akishi Kato and Yuji Terashima. Quiver Mutation Loops and Partition q-Series. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 336(2):811–830, 2015.

[10] Akishi Kato and Yuji Terashima. Quantum dilogarithms and partition q-series. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 338(1):457–481, 2015.

[11] Bernhard Keller. On cluster theory and quantum dilogarithm identities. In *Representations of Algebras and Related Topics, Editors A. Skowronski and K. Yamagata, EMS Series of Congress Reports, European Mathematical Society*, pages 85–11, 2011.

[12] Bernhard Keller. Cluster algebras and derived categories. In *Derived categories in algebraic geometry*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 123–183. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012.

[13] Bernhard Keller. Quiver mutation and combinatorial DT-invariants. *FPSAC '13 The 25th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics. Paris, France, June 24–28, 2013*, 2013.

[14] Bernhard Keller. The periodicity conjecture for pairs of Dynkin diagrams. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 177 (2013), no. 1, 111–170.

[15] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations. arXiv preprint arXiv:0811.2435

[16] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* 5 (2011), no. 2, 231–352.

[17] Kentaro Nagao. Quantum dilogarithm identities. In *Infinite analysis 2010—Developments in quantum integrable systems*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B28, pages 165–170. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2011.

[18] Kentaro Nagao. Donaldson-Thomas theory and cluster algebras. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 162(7):1313–1367, 2013.
[19] Tomoki Nakanishi. Dilogarithm identities for conformal field theories and cluster algebras: simply laced case. *Nagoya Math. J.* 202 (2011), 23–43.
[20] Tomoki Nakanishi. Periodicities in cluster algebras and dilogarithm identities. Representations of algebras and related topics, 407–443, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.
[21] Pierre-Guy Plamondon. Cluster characters for cluster categories with infinite-dimensional morphism spaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 227(1):1–39, 2011.
[22] Markus Reineke. Poisson automorphisms and quiver moduli. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 9(03):653–667, 2010.
[23] Markus Reineke. Cohomology of quiver moduli, functional equations, and integrality of Donaldson-Thomas type invariants. *Compositio Mathematica*, 147(03):943–964, 2011.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan.
E-mail address: akishi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Department of Mathematical and Computing Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan.
E-mail address: mizuno.y.aj@m.titech.ac.jp

Department of Mathematical and Computing Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan.
E-mail address: tera@is.titech.ac.jp